Testing the Reliability and Existence of IS-LM Model for Pakistan

Abstract

The IS-LM model was considered indispensable and had great importance and recital for macroeconomic phenomenon at theoretical as well empirical analysis since 1960s. Now a days the applications of IS-LM model has been greatly restricted to few situations depicts losing the fame. However, it has been a crucial part of the basic principles and manuscripts of macroeconomics long since 1960s. Observing the reliability and existence of IS-LM model in case of Pakistan, for that purpose the study regressed two model; in first the basic model without including the model parameters and in second, with model parameters. The empirical results found the reliability and existence of IS-LM model.
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Introduction

IS-LM analysis is a philosophical phenomenon, and to realize its determination one should be aware of the principles of basic economic theory (Casares and McCallum, 2006). The IS-LM theory was considered indispensable and had great performance at both empirical and theoretical analysis of macroeconomic phenomenon since 1960s, however the thought didn't persists now, which indicates the chronological changes in the model during last century according to requirements of situation. Now a days the applications of IS-LM model has been greatly restricted to few situations depicts losing the fame. However it has been a crucial part of the basic principles and manuscripts of macroeconomics long since 1960s. Even though the Aggregate Expenditure or Aggregate Production formation of the IS-LM model is vanishing from the macro-economic manuscript and is being swapped with Aggregate Supply or Aggregate Demand model. One of the interesting features of this advancement is that, in principle, the Aggregate Supply or Aggregate Demand model is the derivation result of IS-LM framework. Previously, the Aggregate Expenditure or Aggregate Production multiplier model, that

*Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Islamia College Peshawar, KP, Pakistan. Email: gulzaricup@yahoo.com
†Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Islamia College Peshawar, KP, Pakistan.
‡Assistant Professor, Department of Economics and Business Administration, Division of Arts and Social Sciences, University of Education, Lahore, Punjab Pakistan.
is fundamental part of IS-LM model formation, appeared to be the compulsory part of
the macro-economic texts and principles, and the IS-LM transitional model was the
expansion of the basic Aggregate Expenditure or Aggregate Production model.
Currently, the model multiplier has lost its importance from the basic principles of
macro-economics, and has been replaced with the Aggregate Supply or Aggregate
Demand based analysis with strong theoretical grounds and significant empirical
applications. Furthermore fewer references of the IS-LM model observed in top journals
shows the limited scope of the discussion in the contemporary theory.

Efforts have been done by (Clarida et al., 1999; and Yun, 1996) to interpret modern
research and theory in context of IS-LM framework. However their models are based
upon the dynamic general equilibrium model, and the transformation their models in
IS-LM is not fundamental part of their study. The transformation is just operated by
policy concerned to provide mean of connecting their outcomes to IS-LM framework.
Colander and Gamber (2002) presented dynamic general equilibrium models by
assuming minor inflexibilities; a transitory negative association can possibly be seen
between interest rates and output growth that is be named “IS” curve. The model has
been closed by an arrangement of nominal interest rates instead of a traditional LM
curve; however that difference is nominal that is associated with standard IS-LM model
via introducing effectual LM curve into the model that integrates the monetary reaction.

The present situation is almost opposite to that of 1960s where IS-LM model has
been the focal point of both theoretical and policy debates and discussions. IS-LM was
at the core of the macro-economic offering a combination of Keynesian and classical
models, which were a key factor in politics and higher education on the economy. If
someone had learned IS-LM in 1960s, then there was no huge leap between advance
macroeconomic work and intermediate studies. Till mid 1970s the IS-LM was basics of
the graduate economics course. Nowadays the debate about multiple market items and
the equilibrium of money market lost the focus to great extent, and IS-LM is merely
used just as a framework for policy argument, indicating that IS-LM continued, but its
function has altered significantly.

In the 1960s, the IS-LM model was highlighted not only as the starting point for a
theoretical macroeconomics, but it was also the basics for the overall empirical
macroeconomic and econometric models which was then the focal point of advance
macroeconomic policy analysis. In 1960s the application of IS-LM was extended to large
econometric models which had hundreds of equations however the structure of those
equations remained the same. Lawrence (2000) represented the educational use of the
IS-LM in a very good and revealed how IS-LM model could be presented with empirical
contents in view of its role in past and assuming the same performance for present
situation. It is recommended by him that “systems that are carefully fitted to observed
data and capable of generating realistic values are far better for teaching purposes.”
The statement is accurate but it doesn’t represent the case of IS-LM in the advance
analysis as profession is more apprehensive of the economic models on a large scale and
the consequence derived are ambiguous while dealing with real situation.

Various researches have efficiently used active macro-economic models having
enhancing comportment articulated in the form of associations that were alike in
numerous aspects to conventional models of the IS-LM (i.e. Woodford, 2003; Gali and
Gertler, 1999; Jeanne, 1998; Walsh, 1998; Woodford, 1995). The major differentiation
among the models of the cited studies and traditional IS-LM formation is the
differentiation of interest rates (real and nominal) and the accompaniment of IS function
that contains extra term concerning to the anticipated expenditure in future. In addition of the term provides futuristic feature of expenditure assessments, an aspect that in general consequence in considerably changed dynamic performance in the model, comparative to the traditional kind. Yet, optimizing models have considerable restrictions, among which a most noteworthy is that capital investment is generally considered to be exogenous (McCallum and Nelson; 1999) or absent (Woodford; 1995). Obviously, this limitation is pretty considerable. Besides ignoring the opportunity of investigating matters concerning the growth and capital formation, however it also eliminates the chance of describing endogenously the complementary changeability of investment/speculative and consumption expenditure, a distinction characterized in most of the literature related to real-business cycle (Gali; 2001).

From the above discussion it can be concluded that though IS-LM model and its existence had remained an important debate for the academic teachers and learners as well as for policy makers and economist. Still IS-LM model has essential place in economic activities by covering both fiscal and monetary policy side. Both the government and financial institution of the country used IS-LM model in development and frameworks of the different policies to be implemented. Keeping the importance of IS-LM model, the existence of IS-LM model and test their reliability through planned expenditure for Pakistan from 1980-2018 to be examined. This empirical attempt may be helpful for an economic agents and policy maker in implementing and making both the monetary and fiscal policies. It will give direction for taking the decision regarding money supply, interest rate, government expenditure, implementation of taxes and propensity change to consumption and possible role in growth.

Developing of Empirical Model and Theoretical Justification
This research paper aims to empirically analyze the reliability of IS-LM (Planned expenditure) in case of Pakistan, for that purpose on the basis of above brief theoretical introduction, consider the IS-LM model as;

Consorthm function = a + b(Y - T)........ (1)
Investment function: I = c - dr................. (2)
The sign of Parameters is (a > 0, 0 < b < 1, c > 0, d > 0),
As the Planned expenditure is
Y = C + I + G.................................. (3)
Now by putting the value of equations (1) and (2) in to equation (3), it becomes as
Y = (a + b(Y - T)) + (c - dr) + G............... (3.1)
Y (1 - b) = a - bT + c - dr + G............... (3.2)
Y = (a - bT + c - dr + G)/ (1-b).................. (3.3)
From equation (3.3) the slope of IS curve will be;
Slope of IS curve = dY/ dr= -d/ (1-b).................. (3.3a)

\[ \frac{d\text{[IS(r)]}}{d\text{(d)}} = -\frac{1}{(1-b)} < 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (3.3b)

As the real demand for money, that is the function of interest rate (r) and income (y) that is
(M/P) = L(r, Y) = (eY-fr).......................... (4)
fr = (eY-M/P)..........................(4.1)
r = (eY-M/P)/f............................ (4.2)
The slope of LM curve sensitivity to the parameters of interest rate to money demands function, that is;
\[ r = \frac{(eY - M/P)}{f} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.2a)

Slope of LM  \[ r = \frac{dy}{dr} = \frac{e}{f} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.2b)

\[ \frac{dy}{df} = \frac{-e}{f^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.2c)

Note that as "f" gets larger, money demand becomes increasingly sensitive (flatter) to interest rate. If LM is more sensitive to real interest rates than "\( \Delta r \)" responds less strongly to changes in income to achieve money market equilibrium; with some \( \Delta Y \), smaller \( r \) needed to return to equilibrium. Mathematically

\[ \frac{dy}{dM} = \frac{d}{1 + \left( \frac{e}{f} \right) - \frac{p^2}{f}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ \frac{dy}{dG} = \frac{1}{1 + \left( \frac{de}{f} \right) - \frac{b}{f}} = \frac{f}{de + (1-b)/f} > 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

\[ \frac{dy}{dT} = \frac{-b}{1 + \left( \frac{de}{f} \right) - \frac{b}{f}} = \frac{-be}{de + (1-b)/f} < 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)

For reliability of planned expenditure, compare equation (3.3) and (4.2), that is;

\[ (eY-M/P)/f = -\left( \frac{Y(1-b) - a + bT - c - G}{d} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

By re-arranging equation (6)

\[ eY/f + Y(1-b)/d = (a - bT + c + G)/d + M/Pf \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.1)

\[ Y(\frac{e}{f} + (1-b)/d) = (a - bT + c + G)/d + M/Pf \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.2)

\[ Y = \frac{1}{P} \left( \frac{M}{f} \right) / (e/f + (1-b)/d) + (a - bT + c + G)/(d(e/f + (1-b))/d) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.3)

The above equation (6.3) shows that planned expenditure or aggregate demand (Y) is the function of P, exogenous variables M, G, and T. whereas "f", "e", "b", "c" and "d" are the model’s parameters.

### Analysis, Findings and Discussions

#### Statistical Description and Normality of the Data

The descriptive statistics Presentation of data is merely important to shows the average and mean values as well as the statics in a meaningful way. Further, it also helps to understand the main statistics of the variables data and their interpretation in a simple and easy understandable way. Therefore, before going to any empirical and regression analysis we applied Histogram and Jarque-Bera test for descriptive and normality of the variables data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Descriptive and Normality Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without Model Parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarque-Bera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| With Model Parameters                      |
| Mean                                      | 4.976458          |
| Median                                    | 13453.44          |
| Maximum                                   | 692537.3          |
| Minimum                                   | -684730.8         |
| Std. Dev.                                 | 287259.8          |
| Skewness                                  | 0.113664          |
| Kurtosis                                  | 3.408835         |
| Jarque-Bera                               | 0.327653          |
| Probability                               | 0.843758          |
| Observations                              | 36                |

Mean value illustrate the center tendency of the whole data in a single value. The results incorporated in table (1) indicates that mean value shows the standard measures of the
whole variables data used in this study as well as center distribution of the data in
descriptive analysis of both (Model without and with Parameters) models.

Central tendency is mainly measures by median in the data. But median is affected
if there are any outliers and unusual values included in the variables data. If there is
any sort of outliers or unusual values problem in the data, than median is not a best
measurement to represent data in the meaningful and descriptive form. The table (1)
clarifies that median is represent the data used in this study in their best form thus
rejecting any chances of outliers and unusual values in the data. Further, the median
also expresses that the data used in the study is preeminent.

In statistical description the data is further elaborated by measuring the range of
dispersion using range of variance and standard deviation. The value of standard
deviation falls close to the mid-range by comparing the maximum and minimum values
assumed by both models as shown in table (1). Skewness shows the probability
distribution of the variables as well as error term or random term and it can assume
either negative or positive values. Further, Skewness shows and measures symmetry in
the data or lack of symmetry. The zero (0) of skewness shows that data is perfectly
symmetry and if the value of skewness falls between one (1) and zero (0) that indicates
that the data set used is symmetry. The simple formula for the skewness that is
regressed and considered by most of economic and statistical software is in the form

\[
\text{Skewness} = \frac{n}{(n-1)(n-2)} \sum \frac{(Z - \bar{Z})^3}{s^2} = \frac{n}{s^2(n-1)(n-2)} \sum (Z - \bar{Z})^3 
\]

However, it is too difficult to exactly interpret and Skewness has assumed positive
values and very close to zero for both models (without and with Parameters) showing
the data used in this study is properly symmetry of both the models. Moreover, Kurtosis
measures the degree of distributed peakedness in the data and the value of Kurtosis
assumed the distributed peakedness.

The study also regressed Jarque-Bera test for the normality that either the data is
normally distributed as well as normality and correlation between Skewness and
kurtosis in the model. The general form of Jarque-Bera test in the regression analysis
is

\[
\text{Jarque-Bera} = \frac{n-k+1}{6} - \left( \frac{s^2}{4} \right) (kt - 3) 
\]

The results of Jarque-Bera test and their probability values captures normal
distribution indicating that variable data is normally distributed and didn’t show any
serious problem.

Integration of Stationarity

Shrestha and Chowdhury (2005) concluded that a conspicuous that a negligible
alteration in the data specification as well as in assumptions may effectively manipulate
and change the outcomes and sometimes non-stationarity that alters the significant
results of the variables to spurious, insignificant and biased. Consequently, if the
variables data shows their stationarity but still there remains a risk of mis-specification.
Non-stationarity has keen suspicious for researchers and investigator while doing
research on time series data, as this investigation is also doing on time series analysis
so been tested for unit root and the findings showing the rejection of null hypothesis at
different level of integration specified below.
Table 2. Stationarity Level Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Acronyms</th>
<th>Without Model Parameters</th>
<th>With Model Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>At I(0)</td>
<td>At 1(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planned Expenditure</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>-1.889012</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Expenditure</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.784680*</td>
<td>6.724531*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.983063*</td>
<td>7.736903*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money Supply</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>-1.744261</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price Level Index</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-2.127776</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal Propensity to Consume</td>
<td>MPC</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomous Investment</td>
<td>I_o</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Sensitivity to r</td>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) shows rejection of null hypothesis at 5%

1.1. Modeling and Interpretation of Regression Results

Inspect the reliability and existence of IS-LM model, the ARDL approach as technique of regression is applying based on the findings of the stationarity outcomes of table (2). The study regressed two model, one is the basic model without including the variables parameters; i.e.

\[ Y = f (M, G, T, P) \] \hspace{1cm} (12)

Equation (12) shows the theoretical model in which “Y” is the planned expenditure “M” is the money supply, “G” is the government expenditure, “T” is the taxes and “P” is the price level. The econometric model (without model Parameters) is

\[ Y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 G_t + \alpha_2 T_t + \alpha_3 M_t + \alpha_4 P_t + \mu_t \] \hspace{1cm} (13)

The sign of co-efficient estimator that shows the impact and relation of regressor with dependent variables be;

\[ \alpha_1 > 0, \alpha_2 > 0, \alpha_3 > 0, \alpha_4 < 0, \]

The ARDL model (without model Parameters) that be regressed for variables data to empirically examined the reliability of planned expenditure from IS-LM model

\[ Y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_2 G_t + \alpha_2 T_t + \alpha_3 M_t + \alpha_4 P_t + \sum_{i=0}^{l \infty} \beta_0 \Delta Y_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{l \infty} \alpha_1 \Delta G_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{l \infty} \alpha_2 \Delta T_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{l \infty} \alpha_3 \Delta M_{t-i} \]

\[ + \sum_{i=0}^{l \infty} \alpha_4 \Delta P_{t-i} + \eta_t \] \hspace{1cm} (14)

The second model that is regressed includes the model parameters as assumed by final model (6.3) after deriving. The theoretical and econometric form of that model is

\[ Y = f (M*e/f, G, T, P, c, I_0, I_0^*dr) \]

The econometric model is

\[ Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 M_t * (e/f) + \beta_2 G_t + \beta_3 T_t + \beta_4 P_t + \beta_5 c + \beta_6 I_0^* + \beta_7 I^* (dr) + \mu_t \] \hspace{1cm} (15)
In the above econometric model Planned expenditure (Y) is the dependent variable, whereas, independent variables is money supply “M” that is the function of money demand to income (e) and interest rate (f), government expenditure (G), Taxes (T), Marginal propensity to consume (c), autonomous Investment (I₀) and Investment that is function of interest rate (dr), regressed model is

\[ Y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 M_t \times (e/f) + \beta_2 G_t + \beta_3 P_t + \beta_4 C_t + \beta_5 I_t + \beta_6 I_0 \times (dr) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i \Delta Y_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i \Delta M_{t-i} \times (e/f) \]

Both the models were regressed, in first the basic variables without including model parameters (14) and in the second model with models parameters (16) to empirically examine the reliability of planned expenditure deriving from IS-LM model. ARDL acquire satisfactory number of lags for the regression. The automatic lag length criteria following Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) for both the models (without and with model parameters) and the models were regressed a number of times testing at different level is selected. The optimal lag number that gives best results as well as shows minimum number of lag length was at (1, 0, 1, 1, 0) for the model without including parameters and (1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1) for the models that include parameters.

The first model with basic variables that doesn’t contains model parameters as derived from IS-LM model in equation (14); the performance of the model is noteworthy as the prob. F-stat is highly significant. Moreover, R² value enlightens the satisfactory variation between variables included in the model.

**Table 3. Analysis of the Variables (Without Model Parameters)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Co-efficient</th>
<th>Sd. Error</th>
<th>t-Stat</th>
<th>P. values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>-0.412383</td>
<td>0.184085</td>
<td>0.0372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Expenditure</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.329815</td>
<td>0.474459</td>
<td>0.695139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Expenditure</td>
<td>G(-1)</td>
<td>0.273602</td>
<td>0.098712</td>
<td>0.0121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.386571</td>
<td>0.098110</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money Supply</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.275001</td>
<td>0.251972</td>
<td>0.2887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money Supply</td>
<td>M(-1)</td>
<td>0.313073</td>
<td>0.258425</td>
<td>0.121463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price Level</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-0.104275</td>
<td>0.053851</td>
<td>0.0678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price Level</td>
<td>P(-1)</td>
<td>-0.134815</td>
<td>0.069452</td>
<td>0.0672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lag Value of Y</td>
<td>Y(-1)</td>
<td>0.572877</td>
<td>0.142027</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.963128</td>
<td>DW</td>
<td>1.797640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad. R²</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.936248</td>
<td>F-stat. Prob</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The result of explanatory variables to empirically test the reliability of planned expenditure via IS-LM model incorporated in table (3) indicates that both taxes and price level is significant. The co-efficient sign of the estimator is also reliable to model and shows that increase in taxes and decrease in price level (inflation) is positive role in
planned expenditure in case of Pakistan. This gives the direction for both fiscal and monetary policy as to take decision regarding to taxes is the responsibility of government sector and to control price level (inflation) of the central bank of the country. Further, the results also indicates that lagged value of planned expenditure is significant showing that previous year Planned expenditure also a considerable effect in existing year Planned expenditure.

The results integrated in table (3) also show that both monetary policy and government expenditure is insignificant. It is an evident from last two decades’ that economist, analyst, researchers and policy maker’s criticizing the excessive government expenditure and unnecessary increase in money supply. The empirical results of this study also confirming that government current expenditure and disproportionate money supply cannot play any affirmative role and it should be controlled.

**Table 4. Regression of Variables (With Model Parameters)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Acronyms</th>
<th>Co-efficient</th>
<th>Sd. Error</th>
<th>t-Stat</th>
<th>P. values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.135856</td>
<td>0.561738</td>
<td>2418</td>
<td>0.8107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Expenditure</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.416643</td>
<td>0.245234</td>
<td>1.6989</td>
<td>0.1028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Expenditure</td>
<td>G(-1)</td>
<td>0.409626</td>
<td>0.196475</td>
<td>2.0848</td>
<td>0.0484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.741352</td>
<td>0.169622</td>
<td>4.3705</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes</td>
<td>T(-1)</td>
<td>0.758042</td>
<td>0.175283</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money Supply</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.511138</td>
<td>0.197078</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.0149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money Supply</td>
<td>M(-1)</td>
<td>0.733162</td>
<td>0.239438</td>
<td>3.620</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price Level</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-0.187078</td>
<td>0.091206</td>
<td>2.051139</td>
<td>0.0597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Price Level</td>
<td>P(-1)</td>
<td>-0.172943</td>
<td>0.095586</td>
<td>1.809291</td>
<td>0.0835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal Propensity to Consume</td>
<td>MPC</td>
<td>0.174868</td>
<td>0.052023</td>
<td>3.3613</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal Propensity to Consume</td>
<td>MPC(-1)</td>
<td>0.377561</td>
<td>0.087659</td>
<td>3.0852</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomous Investment</td>
<td>I₀</td>
<td>0.426715</td>
<td>0.138307</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomous Investment</td>
<td>I₀(-1)</td>
<td>0.402359</td>
<td>0.138179</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.0179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Sensitivity to r</td>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>-0.491914</td>
<td>0.219897</td>
<td>-2.237017</td>
<td>0.0419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Sensitivity to r</td>
<td>Ir(-1)</td>
<td>-0.679691</td>
<td>0.280122</td>
<td>-2.426410</td>
<td>0.0343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lag Value of Y</td>
<td>Y(-1)</td>
<td>0.818948</td>
<td>0.190999</td>
<td>4.2876</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Testing the Reliability and Existence of IS-LM Model for Pakistan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R-squared</th>
<th>0.939234</th>
<th>Durbin-Watson stat</th>
<th>1.961687</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R-squared</td>
<td>0.918867</td>
<td>Prob(F-statistic)</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The basic model with its parameters is regressed and the model is reliable and consistent at the prob. F-stat value is vastly considerable. The results of regresor variables, money supply after multiplying with money multiplier (e/f) is positive and significant indicates that money supply successfully bring an increase of fifty one to seventy three percent. The estimator value marginal propensity to consume is also positive and significant. That indicates that increase in income will increase the propensity to consume that leads to positive impact of MPC on planned expenditure. The induced investment is also positive impact on planned expenditure and the results also indicating that planned expenditure indirectly have inverse relation with interest rate. Interest rate directly affect (increase or decrease) investment that will then affect the planned expenditure. The taxes and price level has significant effect in both models while government expenditure remains insignificant in both models (without and with model parameters).

The study conclude from the empirical results of the both (without and with model parameters) models that the model that is regressed after including the model parameters is more satisfactory as compared to model without parameters. So, the later model that include parameters significantly explains and prove the reliability of IS-LM via planned expenditure.

Conclusion

The history has witnessed and observed that IS-LM model had remained the important tool to design fiscal and monetary policy. Besides that it has also an imperative place in macroeconomics. It will not worth saying that without IS-LM model macroeconomic be incomplete. Though IS-LM model has a complex framework but still it is more focused model for economist, researchers, policy makers and academic readers.

This study is an empirical attempt of investigating the reliability of IS-LM model in case of Pakistan for the period of 1980-2018. The study regressed two models; in first model (without model parameters) is the basic model. Again the same model is regressed by including the model parameters. From the Comparisons of both models it is evident that model with parameters performs well as compared to basic model. The results also enlightening the existence and reliability of IS-LM model in case of Pakistan empirically. Moreover, the study also concluded that government expenditure remains insignificant and should be minimized and controlled. The study also concluded that decision regarding to money supply and investment must be indigenize rather than exogenous.
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